

# **TECHNICAL ARRANGEMENT**

**BETWEEN THE NATIONAL FREQUENCY MANAGEMENT  
AUTHORITIES OF**

**AUSTRIA, CROATIA, HUNGARY, ROMANIA,  
THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC and SLOVENIA**

## **ON BORDER COORDINATION**

**FOR  
TERRESTRIAL SYSTEMS CAPABLE OF  
PROVIDING ELECTRONIC  
COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES AND  
NATIONAL OPTIONS**

**IN THE 700 MHz FREQUENCY BAND**

**Budapest, 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018**

## 1 INTRODUCTION

**The aim of this Technical Arrangement is to lay down the principles, the technical provisions and administrative procedure necessary to regulate the deployment of terrestrial systems capable of providing electronic communications services in the band 694 - 790 MHz in border areas.**

In the framework of Article 6 of ITU Radio Regulations, of bi- or multilateral agreements, arrangements or protocols dealing with frequency coordination in general (e.g. the "HCM Agreement"), the Croatian Regulatory Authority for Network Industries (Croatia), the Federal Ministry for Transport, Innovation and Technology (Austria), the National Media and Infocommunications Authority (Hungary), the National Authority for Management and Regulation in Communications of Romania (Romania), Agency for Communication Networks and Services of the Republic of Slovenia (Slovenia) and the Regulatory Authority for Electronic Communications and Postal Services (the Slovak Republic) (hereinafter called Signatory Authorities) concluded this Technical Arrangement concerning the usage of the frequencies for terrestrial systems capable of providing electronic communications services in the band 694-790 MHz in border areas.

The Signatory Authorities have agreed on the coordination procedures and rules regarding frequency usage in border areas detailed in the sections below.

## 2 PRINCIPLES OF FREQUENCY PLANNING AND FREQUENCY USAGE IN BORDER AREAS

### 2.1 Relevant regulations

From regulatory point of view, the following deliverables play an important role in the regulation of cross border coordination in the band 694 - 790 MHz:

- COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION (2016/687/EU) of 28 April 2016 on the harmonisation of the 694-790 MHz frequency band for terrestrial systems capable of providing wireless broadband electronic communications services and for flexible national use in the Union (*notified under document C(2016) 2268*);
- ECC DECISION (ECC/DEC/(15)01) approved 06 March 2015 on harmonised technical conditions for mobile/fixed communications networks (MFCN) in the band 694-790 MHz including a paired frequency arrangement (Frequency Division Duplex 2x30 MHz) and an optional unpaired frequency arrangement (Supplemental Downlink) (*Approved 06 March 2015*);
- ECC RECOMMENDATION (ECC/REC/(15)01) approved 13 February 2015 on cross-border coordination for mobile / fixed communications networks (MFCN) in the frequency bands: 694-790 MHz, 1452-1492 MHz, 3400-3600 MHz and 3600-3800 MHz (*amended 5 February 2016*);

- ECC RECOMMENDATION (ECC/REC/(16)03) approved 17 October 2016 on cross-border coordination for Broadband Public Protection and Disaster Relief (BB-PPDR) systems in the frequency band 698 to 791 MHz;
- CEPT REPORT 53 of 28 November 2014  
REPORT A from CEPT to the European Commission in response to the Mandate "to develop harmonised technical conditions for the 694-790 MHz ('700 MHz') frequency band in the EU for the provision of wireless broadband and other uses in support of EU spectrum policy objectives" (*Report approved on 28 November 2014 by the ECC*);
- CEPT REPORT 60 of 01 March 2016  
REPORT B from CEPT to the European Commission in response to the Mandate "to develop harmonised technical conditions for the 694-790 MHz ('700 MHz') frequency band in the EU for the provision of wireless broadband and other uses in support of EU spectrum policy objectives" (*Report approved on 01 March 2016 by the ECC*);
- CEPT REPORT 29 of 26 June 2009 on technical considerations regarding harmonisation options for the digital dividend in the European Union. Guideline on cross border coordination issues between mobile services in one country and broadcasting services in another country;
- ECC REPORT 239 Approved 30 September 2015  
Compatibility and sharing studies for BB PPDR systems operating in the 700 MHz range;
- ECC REPORT 242 Approved 04 March 2016 on compatibility and sharing studies for M2M applications in the 733-736 MHz / 788-791 MHz band.

The versions of the above mentioned deliverables available at the time of signing this technical arrangement are attached for reference in Annex 3.

## 2.2 Regulated bands

The 700 MHz band, as referred to in this Technical Arrangement, covers the preferred harmonised frequency arrangement in the band 694-790 MHz according to COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION (2016/687/EU) / ECC Decision ECC/DEC/(15)01 (see Figure below) including

- a 2x30 MHz FDD frequency arrangement in the 703-733 MHz / 758 – 788 MHz band used for MFCN;
- "zero or up to four block(s) of 5 MHz based on national demands" used for Supplemental Downlink (SDL) in the 738-758 MHz band;
- a 2x5 MHz and/or a 2x3 MHz FDD frequency arrangement in the 698-703 MHz / 753-758 MHz and 733-736 / 788-791 MHz bands as a national option for public protection and disaster relief (PPDR) radio communications;

*Palabrier*

*dpf*

- a 2x3 MHz FDD frequency arrangement in the 733-736 / 788-791 MHz bands as a national option for licensed machine to machine (M2M) radio communications.

694-703	703-708	708-713	713-718	718-723	723-728	728-733	733-738	738-743	743-748	748-753	753-758	758-763	763-768	768-773	773-778	778-783	783-788	788-791
Guard band	Uplink						Gap	SDL				Downlink						Guard band
9 MHz	30 MHz (6 blocks of 5 MHz)						5 MHz	20 MHz (zero up to 4 blocks of 5 MHz)				30 MHz (6 blocks of 5 MHz)						3 MHz

### 2.3 Access to the spectrum in general

One of the most important aims of this Technical Arrangement is to give simple procedure and rules so that networks in border areas may be deployed in a fast and effective way ensuring proper access to the frequency spectrum.

In order to assure equitable access to the spectrum for the operators in neighbouring countries, the coordination principle applied in this Technical Arrangement is based on the concept of trigger field strength values applicable for all concerned operators in the border areas and the concept of preferential physical-layer cell-identity (PCI) codes.

As a consequence, according to this Technical Arrangement, neither coordination nor notification of stations is required. Nevertheless, this kind of frequency usage in the border areas is only viable if the trigger field strength values given in this Technical Arrangement are fulfilled and the field strength values are calculated using accurate radio wave propagation methods. It is also beneficial if radio parameters of the systems are coordinated between neighbouring operators.

It is also important that the information about bringing the frequency bands into use by the operators is available for the interested Administrations and this information can be seen in EFIS ([www.efis.dk](http://www.efis.dk)).

### 2.4 Radio wave propagation methods

Achieving equitable access to the spectrum rather depends upon the radio wave propagation method applied to calculate the field strength since that method serves as a tool for enforcing the rules of this Technical Arrangement.

#### 2.4.1 Calculation of field strength for planning and effectuation

For the calculation of the field strength values to assess compliance with the triggers given in section 4.2 the method of the HCM Agreement shall be applied. Time probability for the calculation of field strength values for electronic communications services is 10%.

Time probability for the calculation of field strength values according to section 5 for the protection of digital television systems is 1%.

*akalonia*

*dePT*

### **2.4.2 Calculations in the case of reported interference**

As for interference field strength prediction, the following three methods are proposed to be considered by administrations in the relevant frequency coordination Recommendation ECC/REC/(15)01:

- site general model with line calculations (hereinafter called "site general method");
- path specific model with radial calculations from base stations (hereinafter called "radial calculations");
- area calculations with a path specific model (hereinafter called "area calculations").

Using a site general method (like "HCM" Agreement") for the assessment of interference cannot ensure proper protection against harmful interference for several cases and results in less efficiency in frequency usage in border areas.

Radial calculations can only give better result than site general methods if steps along paths are small enough and the number of radial directions is high enough. Still, there may be some cases causing harmful interference.

Area calculations, especially alongside using clutter data, can eliminate the mistakes of both site general methods and radial calculations and, in addition, important geographic areas can also be protected. Therefore, area calculations are preferable in the case where it is necessary to evaluate interference in detail. Thus, operators are expected to apply area calculations based on commonly agreed wave propagation model, trigger values and method used for evaluation of interference to protect their networks or a special part of the border area and to enhance spectrum efficiency in border areas.

## **3 GENERAL TECHNICAL PROVISIONS**

In this section the general technical provisions are given while section 4 details the additional technical provisions for the trigger field strengths values in border areas.

This Technical Arrangement applies only for the band usage by MFCN systems complying with the frequency arrangement in section 3.1 and radio parameters specified in section 3.2. In case of any other technology or radio service the Signatory Authorities concerned shall reach an agreement for properly modifying this Technical Arrangement before putting any station into operation.

### **3.1 Frequency arrangement**

In accordance with the COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION (2016/687/EU) of 28 April 2016 / ECC DECISION (ECC/DEC/(15)01) approved 06 March 2015 the preferred harmonised frequency arrangement shall be as follows:

- within the paired 703-733 MHz and 758-788 MHz frequency bands
  - the mode of operation shall be Frequency Division Duplex (FDD); the duplex spacing shall be 55 MHz with
    - terminal station transmission located in the lower frequency band 703 – 733 MHz (FDD uplink);
    - base station transmission (FDD downlink) located in the upper frequency band 758-788 MHz;
  - the block sizes shall be in multiples of 5 MHz, which does not preclude smaller channel bandwidths within a block;
  - the lower frequency limit of an assigned block shall be aligned with or spaced at multiples of 5 MHz from the band edge of 703 MHz;
- within the 738-758 MHz frequency band an unpaired frequency arrangement (supplemental downlink, SDL) on optional basis:
  - the use of this band shall be limited to base station (“downlink-only”) transmission;
  - the lower band edge of the designated spectrum range shall start at one of the following: 738 MHz, 743 MHz, 748 MHz or 753 MHz;
  - the assigned block sizes within the designated spectrum range shall be in multiples of 5 MHz (SDL using “zero or up to four” of the following frequency blocks: 738 – 743 MHz, 743 – 748 MHz, 748 – 753 MHz and 753 – 758 MHz, however this does not preclude smaller channel bandwidths within a block);
  - the upper frequency limit of an assigned block shall be aligned with or spaced at multiples of 5 MHz from the upper band edge;
- within the 698-703 MHz/753-758 MHz and 733-736 MHz/788-791 MHz bands
  - the mode of operation shall be Frequency Division Duplex (FDD); the duplex spacing shall be 55 MHz with
    - terminal station transmission (PPDR uplink) located in the lower frequency band 698-703 MHz and/or 733-736 MHz;
    - base station transmission (PPDR downlink) located in the upper frequency band 753-758 MHz and/or 788-791 MHz;
- within the 733-736 MHz/788-791 MHz bands
  - the mode of operation shall be Frequency Division Duplex (FDD); the duplex spacing shall be 55 MHz with
    - terminal station transmission (M2M uplink) located in the lower frequency band 733-736 MHz;
    - base station transmission (M2M downlink) located in the upper frequency band 788-791 MHz.

*Takalovics*

*[Signature]*  
04/PT

Bands	694-698	698-703	703-733	733-736	736-738	738-743	743-748	748-753	753-758	758-788	788-791	791-821	
PPDR 2x3 MHz			UL MFCN Band 28	UL PPDR						DL MFCN Band 28	DL PPDR	DL MFCN Band 20	
PPDR 2x5 MHz		UL PPDR							DL PPDR				
M2M 2x3 MHz				UL M2M							DL M2M		
SDL 4x5 MHz					DL MFCN SDL								
PMSE	PMSE			PMSE									
Block Size [MHz]	4	5	30	3	2	5	5	5	5	30	3	30	

Source: ECC Report 242

### 3.2 Radio parameters

Radio parameters of mobile and base stations such as power limits shall comply with the requirements given in COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION (2016/687/EU) of 28 April 2016 / ECC DECISION (ECC/DEC/(15)01)/ approved 06 March 2015.

When one country uses 733-736 MHz for UL, another country is using adjacent spectrum with only 2 MHz guard band for SDL in 738-743 MHz, coexistence parameters and mitigation techniques proposed in ECC Report 242 and/or ECC report 239 should be taken into account to prevent possible interference issues at the borderline between neighbouring countries.

It is required to share the preferential physical-layer cell identities (PCI) according to Annex 1 to this Technical Arrangement.

In addition, it is also desirable for the operators to coordinate radio parameters of their systems to minimise the deteriorating effects of uplink interference in line with the related Recommendation.

## 4 TECHNICAL PROVISIONS RELATED TO TRIGGER FIELD STRENGTH VALUES

### 4.1 Basic rules

Trigger field strength values given in section 4.2 refer to a reference frequency block of 5 MHz. The trigger field strength values shall be modified taking into consideration the value of the bandwidth and the aggregated power correction factor given below. The modified field strength triggers shall be applied to each individual base station.

#### a) Bandwidth correction factor

If the nominal channel spacing of a system is not equal to 5 MHz, the value of the bandwidth correction factor according to the following formula shall be added to the field strength triggers given in section 4.2:

$$10 * \log (C_s/5 \text{ MHz}) \quad (\text{dB})$$

where

"Cs" nominal channel spacing (MHz).

#### b) Aggregated power correction factor

If there is more than one transmitter within the sector operating in a respective reference frequency block, in case of single entry interference calculation the trigger field strength values given in section 4.2 shall be decreased by the value of the aggregated power correction factor according to the following formula in each antenna sector:

$$10 * \log n \quad (\text{dB})$$

where

"n" the number of the transmitters or transmissions in the respective antenna sector

If a transmission with nominal channel spacing falls into a respective reference frequency block (even if partly), it shall be included in the value of "n".

### 4.2 Trigger field strength values for the cross border operation of FDD and SDL systems in the 700 MHz band

The following trigger field strength values shall be applied for base stations of FDD systems operating in the downlink bands of the paired 703-733/758-788 MHz, 698-703 MHz/753-758 MHz, 733-736 MHz/788-791 MHz bands and SDL systems in the 738-758 MHz band:

- **stations with centre frequencies not aligned on both sides of the borderline or with centre frequencies aligned using preferential PCI codes** given in Annex 1 may be operated if the mean field strength produced by the cell (all transmitters within the sector) does not exceed the value of 59 dBµV/m/5 MHz at a height of 3 m above ground at the borderline between countries and does not exceed a value of 41 dBµV/m/5 MHz at a height of 3 m above ground at a distance of 6 km inside the neighbouring country;
- **stations with centre frequencies aligned on both sides of the borderline using non-preferential PCI codes** given in Annex 1 may be operated if the mean field strength produced by the cell (all transmitters within the sector) does not



exceed the value of 41 dB $\mu$ V/m/5 MHz at a height of 3 m above ground at the borderline between countries.

## 5 PROTECTION OF TELEVISION BROADCASTING SERVICE

In some countries the frequency band or part of the band 694-790 MHz may still be used for television broadcasting service. The implementation of the MFCN frequency arrangement by national administrations will require coordination with any other administration whose television broadcasting service is considered to be affected.

Border sections and trigger field strength values required to protect the reception of these TV signals are given in Annex 2. These trigger field strength values are to be kept in the respective border sections in addition to the values specified in section 4.2. The trigger field strength values and calculation method are based on the GE-06 Agreement and correspond to the following table:

Coordination trigger field strength for the protection of the television broadcasting service	
Protection of the digital TV	25 dB $\mu$ V/m/8 MHz at the border at a height of 10 m above ground 14 dB $\mu$ V/m/8 MHz at the border at a height of 3 m above ground*

\* approximated value considering 11 dB receiving antenna height correction from 10 m to 3 m. For more accurate calculations the method described in § A2.1.9 of Annex-2 Chapter-2 to the GE-06 Agreement should be applied.

## 6 PROCEDURE IN CASE OF HARMFUL INTERFERENCE

In the case of harmful interference the data necessary to evaluate and treat the harmful interference shall be exchanged between Signatory Authorities concerned. Administrations concerned shall endeavour to achieve a mutually satisfactory solution as soon as possible.

Concerning interference calculations a two-step procedure is described below and based upon interference calculations operators shall adjust the characteristics of base stations.

**As the first step**, in the case of harmful interference, field strength line calculations shall be carried out between the base stations causing harmful interference and the points of the borderline / 6 km line with regard to trigger values in section 4.2 and the characteristic of the base station shall be adjusted in such a way that the trigger values in section 4.2 are kept. For line calculations, taking into account the different type of radio wave propagation paths, the HCM model shall be used. Time probability in all calculations is 10 %. Operators may also apply more accurate area calculations according to Annex 2 to ECC Recommendation ECC/REC/(15)01 for evaluation of interference based on commonly agreed methods in the "Operator Arrangements".

**As the second step**, if harmful interference is still experienced despite the above adjustment, measurements shall be carried out according to international/mutually agreed procedures.

## **7 OPERATOR ARRANGEMENTS**

To further improve the coexistence of terrestrial systems capable of providing electronic communications services, and to enhance the efficient use of radio spectrum and coverage in border areas, operators may diverge from the regulation given in this Technical Arrangement, except the cases given in section 3.1 (band arrangement) and in section 3.2 (radio parameters), based on an arrangement concluded between operators, so-called additional "Operator Arrangements".

Operators may negotiate arrangements which concern only the common part of those frequency bands in respect of which they have been granted licences, without affecting the rights of non-involved third parties, and are subject to prior approval of their respective administration.

The "Operator Arrangements" shall be in line with the *"Agreements between administrations concerning the approval of arrangements between operators"* for the administrations that have signed such agreement.

The "Operator Arrangements" should be based on the relevant deliverables listed in section 2.1 and their subsequently revised versions.

## **8 REVISION OF THE TECHNICAL ARRANGEMENT**

With the consent of the other Signatory Authorities, this Technical Arrangement may be reviewed or modified at the request of one or more Signatory Authorities where such modifications become necessary in the light of administrative, regulatory or technical developments, or if practical experience or the operation of terrestrial systems capable of providing electronic communications services requires it. **Such revision requests shall be answered within 30 days of receipt of the modification request information.**

## **9 WITHDRAWAL FROM THE TECHNICAL ARRANGEMENT**

Any Authority may withdraw from this Technical Arrangement by the end of a calendar month by giving notice of its intention at least six months in advance. A declaration to that effect shall be addressed to all other Signatory Authorities.

*Palalonia*

*[Signature]*  
API

## 10 LANGUAGE OF THE TECHNICAL ARRANGEMENT

This Technical Arrangement has been concluded in English.

One original version of this Technical Arrangement is handed over to each Signatory Authority and a copy is submitted to the Managing Administration of the HCM Agreement.

## 11 DATE OF ENTRY INTO FORCE

This Technical Arrangement will enter into force on the date of its signature.

Done at Budapest, 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018

For Austria



---

Franz ZIEGELWANGER

For Croatia



---

Ivančica SAKAL

For Hungary



---

Emília ULELAY

For Romania



---

Bogdan Cristian IANA

For the Slovak Republic



---

Milan MIZERA

For Slovenia



---

Meta PAVŠEK TAŠKOV

## ANNEX 1

### PREFERENTIAL PHYSICAL-LAYER CELL IDENTITIES (PCI) FOR LTE

PCI co-ordination is only needed when channel centre frequencies are aligned independent of the channel bandwidth.

ETSI TS 36.211 defines 168 "unique physical-layer cell-identity groups" in §6.11, numbered 0...167, hereafter called "PCI groups". Within each PCI group there are three separate PCIs giving 504 PCIs in total.

Repartition of these 504 PCI should be made on an equitable basis when channel centre frequencies are aligned as shown in the Table below. It has to be noted that dividing the PCI groups or PCI's is equivalent.

As shown in the table below, the PCI's should be divided into 6 sub-sets containing each one sixth of the available PCI's. Each country is allocated three sets (half of the PCI's) in a bilateral case, and two sets (one third of the PCI's) in a trilateral case.

Four types of countries are defined in a way such that no country will use the same code set as any one of its neighbours. The following lists describe the distribution of European countries:

Type country 1: BEL, CVA, CYP, CZE, DNK, E, FIN, GRC, IRL, ISL, LTU, MCO, SMR, SUI, SVN, UKR, AZE, SRB.

Type country 2: AND, BIH, BLR, BUL, D, EST, G, HNG, I, MDA, RUS (Exclave), GEO.

Type country 3: ALB, AUT, F, HOL, HRV, POL, POR, ROU, RUS, S, MLT.

Type country 4: LIE, LUX, LVA, MKD, MNE, NOR, SVK, TUR.

For each type of country, the following tables and figure describe the sharing of the PCI's with its neighbouring countries, with the following conventions of writing:

■	Preferential PCI
□	non-preferential PCI

The 504 physical-layer cell-identities should be divided into the following 6 sub-sets when the carrier frequencies are aligned in border areas:

PCI	Set A	Set B	Set C	Set D	Set E	Set F	PCI	Set A	Set B	Set C	Set D	Set E	Set F
Country 1	0..83	84..167	168..251	252..335	336..419	420..503	Country 2	0..83	84..167	168..251	252..335	336..419	420..503
Border 1-2	█	█				█	Border 2-1			█	█	█	
Zone 1-2-3							Zone 2-3-1					█	
Border 1-3			█				Border 2-3		█				
Zone 1-2-4						█	Zone 2-1-4						
Border 1-4			█				Border 2-4						█
Zone 1-3-4							Zone 2-3-4						

PCI	Set A	Set B	Set C	Set D	Set E	Set F	PCI	Set A	Set B	Set C	Set D	Set E	Set F
Country 3	0..83	84..167	168..251	252..335	336..419	420..503	Country 4	0..83	84..167	168..251	252..335	336..419	420..503
Border 3-2	█				█	█	Border 4-1		█			█	█
Zone 3-1-2							Zone 4-1-2					█	
Border 3-1				█			Border 4-2		█				
Zone 3-1-4							Zone 4-2-3						
Border 3-4			█				Border 4-3				█		
Zone 3-2-4							Zone 4-3-1						

**Note:**


In certain specific cases (e.g. AUT/HRV) where the distance between two countries of the same type number is very small (< few 10s km), it may be necessary to address the situation in bilateral /multilateral coordination agreements as necessary, and may include further subdivision of the allocated codes in certain areas.

*Katkovics*

*OKP*

Palanov

CMP



Country 1: [Blue Box]

Country 2: [Green Box]

Country 3: [Yellow Box]

Country 4: [Red Box]

- Vatican CVA= Country 1

- Monaco MCO= Country 1

- San Marino SMR= Country 1

- Andorra AND= Country 2

- Liechtenstein LIE= Country 4

## ANNEX 2

For the protection of the reception of digital television according to section 5

<b>CROATIA</b> Name of TV-Station or Name of Allotment	Frequency Area		Border Area to be Protected		Trigger Field Strength at the Border in dBµV/m at h=10m and 3m	To Protect until
	from MHz	up to MHz	from Longitude Latitude	up to Longitude Latitude		
D1	750	758	18E5325 45N5518	17E5441 45N4727	25@10m 14@3m	26.10.2021.
D2	766	774	17E5441 45N4727	17E1739 45N5918	25@10m 14@3m	26.10.2021.
D3	726	734	17E1739 45N5918	15E5236 46N1647	25@10m 14@3m	26.10.2021.
D4	726	734	15E5236 46N1647	15E2309 45N2916	25@10m 14@3m	26.10.2021.
D5	726	734	14E5409 45N2830	13E1523 45N3440	25@10m 14@3m	26.10.2021.
D5	758	766	14E5409 45N2830	13E1523 45N3440	25@10m 14@3m	26.10.2021.
D6	734	742	15E2309 45N2916	14E5409 45N2830	25@10m 14@3m	26.10.2021.

*patalanias*

*dipt*



<b>HUNGARY</b> Name of TV-Station or Name of Allotment	Frequency Area		Border Area to be Protected		Trigger Field Strength at the Border in dBµV/m at h=10m and 3m	To Protect until
	from MHz	up to MHz	from Longitude Latitude	up to Longitude Latitude		
SOPVAS	694	702	016E23 30 46N38 11	017E04 06 47N44 22	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
BARTOL	694	702	017E33 44 45N56 04	018E51 00 45N54 36	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
HAJ	694	702	021E28 03 46N41 09	22E04 52 47N33 06	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
BARTOL	702	710	017E33 44 45N56 04	018E51 00 45N54 36	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
VESGYO	710	718	016E31 10 47N0019	017E54 41 47N44 56	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
HAJ	710	718	021E28 03 46N41 09	022E04 52 47N33 06	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
SZA	718	726	022E04 52 47N33 06	022E08 31 48N24 36	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
BARTOL	718	726	017E33 44 45N56 04	018E51 00 45N54 36	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
HEVSZC	726	734	019E49 48 48N09 36	020E20 24 48N17 24	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
ZALSOM	734	742	016E23 41 46N37 53	017E33 44 45N56 04	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
BEK	734	742	020E46 48 46N15 36	021E28 03 46N41 09	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
ZALSOM	742	750	016E23 41 46N37 53	017E33 44 45N56 04	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020

*Katalin*

*9* *AMPT*



HUNGARY Name of TV-Station or Name of Allotment	Frequency Area		Border Area to be Protected		Trigger Field Strength at the Border in dBµV/m at h=10m and 3m	To Protect until
	from MHz	up to MHz	from Longitude Latitude	up to Longitude Latitude		
PESNOG	742	750	018E50 06 47N50 15	019E50 12 48N09 44	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
HEVSZC	750	758	019E49 48 48N09 36	020E20 24 48N17 24	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
VES	758	766	016E31 10 47N00 19	017E21 20 47N59 20	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
CSO	758	766	019E43 07 46N10 38	020E46 48 46N15 36	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
PESC	766	774	018E50 06 47N50 15	018E57 48 48N03 32	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
VAS	766	774	016E23 30 46N38 11	016E33 10 47N24 52	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
SZA	766	774	022E04 52 47N33 06	022E08 31 48N24 36	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
KOMFEJ	774	782	017E55 29 47N44 57	018E50 29 47N50 31	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
ZALSOM	782	790	016E23 41 46N37 53	017E33 44 45N56 04	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
CSO	782	790	019E43 07 46N10 38	020E46 48 46N15 36	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
TOK	782	790	021E16 37 48N30 52	022E08 31 48N24 36	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
MAKO	694	702	020E29 10 46N10 52	020E36 19 46N08 30	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020

Takaloni

<b>HUNGARY</b> Name of TV-Station or Name of Allotment	Frequency Area		Border Area to be Protected		Trigger Field Strength at the Border in dBµV/m at h=10m and 3m	To Protect until
	from MHz	up to MHz	from Longitude Latitude	up to Longitude Latitude		
LETENYE	702	710	016E30 00 46N33 39	016E49 56 46N22 02	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
OZD	710	718	020E10 31 48N15 49	020E23 28 48N21 09	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
ESZTERGOM	718	726	018E29 41 47N45 21	018E49 55 47N50 59	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
GYOR	742	750	017E35 31 47N49 35	017E49 38 47N45 08	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
SATORALJAUJHELY	742	750	021E24 24 48N33 43	021E58 34 48N23 01	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
NOGRADSIPEK	774	782	019E14 03 48N02 60	019E34 56 48N12 33	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
SOPRON	774	782	016E28 39 47N22 57	017E04 37 47N55 11	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
FEHERGYARMAT	782	790	022E32 11 48N12 40	022E37 42 47N47 15	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020
SIKLOS	782	790	018E04 28 45N46 33	018E26 16 45N45 36	25@10m 14@3m	06.09.2020

*Palabonist*

*04/05*

## **ANNEX 3**

### **REFERENCES**

The deliverables mentioned in the Agreement being in force at the time of signing this technical arrangement are attached for reference in pdf format in the electronic version.